## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

Billy Lee Lisenby, Jr., a/k/a Malik Al-Shabazz,	)	C/A No.: 1:11-1442-DCN-SVH
Petitioner,	)	ORDER
vs.	)	
Warden, Lee Correctional Institution,	)	
Respondent.	)	

Petitioner, proceeding pro se, brought this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Respondent filed a motion for summary judgment on February 10, 2012. [Entry #35]. As Petitioner is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), on February 13, 2012, advising him of the importance of a motion for summary judgment and of the need for him to file an adequate response. [Entry #24]. Petitioner was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, Respondent's motion may be granted, thereby ending this case.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's *Roseboro* order, Petitioner has failed to respond to the motion. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon this action. Based on the foregoing, it is ordered that Petitioner shall advise the court as to whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to Respondent's motion for summary judgment by April 4, 2012. Petitioner is further advised that if he fails to respond, this

action will be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

March 21, 2012 Columbia, South Carolina Shiva V. Hodges United States Magistrate Judge

Shira V. Hodges